

Los Angeles County
Department of Regional Planning

Planning for the Challenges Ahead

Richard J. Bruckner
Director

REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
APPEAL FORM

RECEIVED
JUN 30 2015

BY: *Alexander Glassy*
Nazar Nicchitta

DATE: *June 30, 2015*

TO: Ms. Rosie Ruiz
Regional Planning Commission Secretary
Department of Regional Planning
County of Los Angeles
320 W. Temple Avenue, Room 1350
Los Angeles, California 90012

FROM: *DAVID LUMQUED*
Name

SUBJECT: Project Number(s): *R 2014-02585-5*
Case Number(s): *CUP 2014 00121*
Case Planner: *Ms. Nazar*
Address: *4337 N. Sunflower Ave., Carina, CA*
Assessors Parcel Number:
Zoned District: *Charter Oak Zoned District*

Entitlement Requested:

Unmanned Wireless Telecommunication Facilities

Related Zoning Matters:

Tentative Tract/Parcel Map No.	
CUP, VAR or Oak Tree No.	
Change of Zone Case No.	
Other	

(Reverse)

I am appealing the decision of (check one and fill in the underlying information):

Decision Date: ☐ **Director**

☒ **Hearing Officer**
Public Hearing Date: June 16, 2015
Hearing Officer's Name: Ms. Hachiya
Agenda Item Number: 11

The following decision is being appealed (check all that apply):

- ☐ The Denial of this request
☒ The Approval of this request
☐ The following conditions of approval:

List conditions here

The reason for this appeal is as follows:

See Attached 14 justifications.

Are you the applicant for the subject case(s) (check one)? ☐ YES ☒ NO

Submitted herewith is a check or money order for the amount due, as indicated on the Fee Schedule on the Los Angeles County Department of Regional Planning's website.

[Signature] DAVID LUMINUED
Appellant (Signature) Print Name

1883 NEWCASTLE LANE SAN DIMAS, CA 91773
Address

826-274-3229 (cell) 826-441-1426 (work)
Day Time Telephone No.

*Fee subject to change.

Reasons for Appeal are as follows:

1. **Proper Notification**-by Verizon was not supplied to local residents within 1000 square feet from proposed project. Addresses and names supplied to Jeantine Nazar.
2. **Aesthetics**-of Proposed Cell Tower Project is not pleasing to Residents and Community. It is a nuisance and does not blend in with community. This Cell Tower will be an eye sore in the area. It will tower over the church and is not approved by the Local Residents.
3. **Health Concerns**- We believe through personal Experience and Worldwide studies and Research that Cell Towers will negatively affect the health of the residents and community within the 1 mile radius plus from project. Studies and recent denials locally will back up our claims. FCC requirements are too lenient and favor studies by Cell companies. Independent and Worldwide studies contradict FCC and Cell company findings. There are no Studies Proving Cell Towers do not cause Health issues and Cancer. No Studies on Children are conducted and they are the most greatly affected. Because of this reason, we ask the Board to Deny this project.
4. **County of LA Board of Supervisors**-Stop Construction of cell towers at Fire stations. (Read Articles supplied) March 2015.
5. **Property Values will Drop**- Realtors agree that living next to a Cell Tower or Proposed Cell tower creates a devaluation of Home Owner Property Values. Ask yourself, if given a choice to live by a cell tower or not, which would you choose? We choose not to live by a Cell Tower in a Residential neighborhood and by local schools with 1 block.
6. **Residents Moving**- We would like to prevent residents from moving, leaving too much supply and values in area will drop. New home owners surveyed say if they knew about this cell tower, they would have not moved into area or asked for lower sale price.
7. **Zoning**- It has come to our attention that this project is being viewed or zoned as in an Agricultural area. This is not agricultural but residential. Please review zoning and deny based upon new information about zoning.
8. **Cell Coverage is Sufficient**- Local Verizon users in area polled believe and have stated that Cell Coverage in the area is more than sufficient. Please deny based upon these findings.
9. **Interference**- We believe that this Cell Tower does have interference with other existing services. Directv, Time Warner, and Sirius Satellite radio. Retired Sheriff, and local resident, will provide a letter of opinion based upon his experience.
10. **Local Meeting**- We feel a local meeting needs to be established to help with input on this proposed project. Delay or deny project until local meeting completed in neighborhood.

11. **Local Approval=More Cell Tower Approvals**- 1=3 then here comes #4. Verizon today, AT&T, Sprint. Then T-Mobile to follow. We do not want any more Cell Towers in residential communities. Deny this approval.
12. **Camouflage**- If this tower is safe, why camouflage from community and residence. If it's bad, expose it and keep it as a reminder of what is really there. Deny this approval.
13. **School**- local Principal, parents and school officials we polled and talked to oppose this cell tower within 1-block of school. A denial of a school from alternative site is reason why this approval should be denied.
14. **Duel Cul-de-sac**-sharing Street to residents and church. This constant exposure to residents within a few feet from project is a high concern. This means we'd pass by 2-4 times daily on average. Please deny this project.